

CLIENT: WOLF-BAVARIA

TEST DATE: 4 FEBRUARY 2005

pp 1/8

WHI TEST REPORT #3070739-C
TEST OF
A WOOD BURNING STOVE
FOR MINIMUM BURN RATE
PER
EPA METHOD 28A

BURNING ROOM – 023 (MODELS: STROMBOLI AND LASCAR)

FOR

WOLF BAVARIA GmbH FROHNHOF 9 91580 PETERSAURACH, GERMANY

BY
INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES NA, INC.
8431 MURPHY DRIVE
MIDDLETON, WISCONSIN 53562

TEST DATE: 4 FEBRUARY 2005 REPORT DATE: 16 FEBRUARY 2005



This report is for the exclusive use of the client of Intertek Testing Services NA, Inc (Intertek) and is provided pursuant to the agreement between Intertek and its client. Intertek's responsibility and liability are limited to the terms and conditions of the agreement. Intertek assumes no liability to any party, other than to the client in accordance with the agreement, for any loss, expense or damage occasioned by the use of this report. Only the client is authorized to copy or distribute this report and then only in its entirety. Any use of the Intertek name or one of its marks for the sale or advertisement of the tested material, product or service must first be approved in writing by Intertek. The observations and test results in this report are relevant only to the sample tested. The report by itself does not imply that the material, product or service is or ever has been under an Intertek certification program.



CLIENT: WOLF-BAVARIA

TEST DATE: 4 FEBRUARY 2005

pp 2/8

CO	NT	EN	TS
----	----	----	----

I.	INTRODUCTION			
	A. B. C. D.	Purpose of test		
II.	SUMI	SUMMARY OF TEST		
	A. B. C.	Test Information Log		
III.	CONCLUSION			
IV.	PRO	CESS DESCRIPTION		
	A. B. C. D. E.	Unit Dimension7Fire Box Configuration7Air Supply System7Start Up Operation7Test Fuel Properties8		
		APPENDICES		
	Data Calib Unit	oratory Operating Procedure		

CLIENT: WOLF-BAVARIA

TEST DATE: 4 FEBRUARY 2005

pp 3/8

I. INTRODUCTION

I.A. PURPOSE OF TEST

The test conducted and reported on herein was run to determine the minimum burn rate of the subject unit in accordance with U.S. EPA requirements under 40 CFR 60. SUBPART AAA, NSPS for Residential Wood Heaters. The burn rate determination was carried out per EPA Method 28A specifically to demonstrate that the unit is not an affected facility as defined in Section 60.531, "Wood Heater", (C). which states that wood heaters must have a minimum burn rate of 5 kilograms per hour or less. The test was conducted on 4 February 2005.

I.B. LABORATORY

The test was supervised by Rick Armstrong, Technical Projects Manager, Intertek Testing Services NA, Inc., Middleton, Wisconsin Laboratory. Bill Keen conducted the test. Michael Wolf of Wolf-Bavaria was present during testing.

The laboratory is located at an elevation of 860 feet above sea level and is accredited by the U.S. EPA, Certificate Number 3.

I.C. GENERAL INFORMATION

Single wall black chimney pipe was installed to eight feet above the platform with factory built double wall chimney installed to 15 feet above the scale platform. A factory provided stack damper was installed.

The test was conducted with the minimum air supply achievable as required by the test method. Time, weight, temperature and gas data were recorded for burn rate and efficiency calculations and emission samples were taken by method 5G3.

CLIENT: WOLF-BAVARIA

TEST DATE: 4 FEBRUARY 2005

pp 4/8

I.D. DESCRIPTION OF UNIT

The Wolf-Bavaria model Stromboli was tested as a representative sample of Burning Room – 023. Model Stromboli is a freestanding stove of oval shape constructed of steel with ceramic inserts on the side and top of stove. The unit stands on a 7" diameter pedestal, with a 26" x 19" oval base. There is an air control located above the fuel door, which is used for secondary air. Primary air enters the stove in the bottom rear through five 10-mm diameter holes, which then enters the firebox through the ash grate in the center bottom of the firebox. All combustion gases exit through the six inch steel flue collar. The test lab installed generic flue damper was set to 62° open, which equals 16.52 in² (417 mm²) of open area in the flue.

Proprietary drawings and manufacturing methods are on file at Intertek.

CLIENT: WOLF-BAVARIA

TEST DATE: 4 FEBRUARY 2005

pp 5/8

II. SUMMARY OF TEST

II.A. TEST INFORMATION LOG

The following is a summary of the test conducted on 4 February 2005 on the Wolf-Bavaria, Burning Room – 023.

ACTUAL LOADING

Firebox Volume:

2.80 ft³

Standard Load Weight:

7 lbs/ft 3 = 19.60 lbs (± 10%)

Standard Log:

Douglas Fir 4x4x15 inches and 2x4x15

inches w/flanges (flanges measured

1 1/2x3/4x4 1/4)

Number of Pieces: Load Configuration: Five 2x4's and two 4x4

Two 2x4's on bottom, two 4x4's on second row, two 2x4's on third row,

and one 2x4 on the back of top row.

		FUEL	WET BASIS
TIME	DESCRIPTION	WEIGHT	M.C.
9:00 9:02	split Douglas Fir scrap to start fire Preload added 2x4x6 inch Doug Fir	4.3 lbs. 18.07 lbs.	17.86%
9:12	Air control and stack damper full closed		
10:18	7-standard pieces loaded on 4.4 lbs. of coals (24.3 % of test load)	18.05 lbs. (8.19 kg)	17.19%
11:37	Weight returned to 4.4 lbs End of test Burn duration-79 minutes		

CLIENT: WOLF-BAVARIA

TEST DATE: 4 FEBRUARY 2005

pp 6/8

II.B. TEST RESULT CALCULATION

Burn rate Calculation (per method 28,8.3)

BR =
$$\frac{60 \times 8.19}{79} \cdot \frac{100 - 17.19}{100} = 5.15 \text{ kg/hr}$$

II.C. SUMMARY OF OTHER DATA

Since this test was conducted only to determine the minimum achievable burn rate per EPA Method 28A, gas analysis was not necessary. Data was recorded, however, in this area as part of the normal test procedure. The dilution tunnel was run as prescribed by Method 5G, but at its maximum velocity. No emissions samples were taken.

TEST SET-UP DESCRIPTION

The unit was run with the air control closed and factory supplied stack damper at 62° open. No air inlets to the firebox could be found other than the intended openings. The location and design of the air control system was judged to be tamper proof.

III. CONCLUSION

The minimum burn rate as determined by this test was 5.15 kg/hr. This is in excess of the 5 kg/hr or lower burn rate required to class this unit as a "Wood Heater" per 40 CFR 60, Subpart AAA, Section 60.531, "Wood Heater", (C). This test, therefore demonstrates that this unit is not an affected facility under the definition given in the regulation.

CLIENT: WOLF-BAVARIA

TEST DATE: 4 FEBRUARY 2005

pp 7/8

IV. PROCESS DESCRIPTION

IV.A. UNIT DIMENSIONS

The overall dimensions of the model Stromboli is:

Height 43.25", Width 26", Depth 19".

IV.B. FIREBOX CONFIGURATION

The firebox of the Burning Room – 023 is 14.625" deep, 16" wide and 19.375" high. The loading volume measured and calculated included the entire volume from the hearth to the baffle and from the back of the unit to the front.

IV.C. AIR SUPPLY SYSTEM

Combustion air enters the Burning Room - 023 through the bottom rear of the stove through five 10-mm diameter holes, which then enters the firebox through the ash grate in the center bottom of the firebox.

IV.D. START UP OPERATION

For this test the unit was started with Douglas Fir scrap 2x4's and split 4x4's. This kindling load was allowed to burn until a hot fire was established. A preload of Douglas Fir 2x4's 6" in length and weighting 18.07 lbs. was added. This load was allowed to burn down to 4.4 lbs remaining. When the preload reached 4.4 lbs. net weight the tare button on the scale was pushed, a stop watch was started and the test load consisting of five 2x4x15" and two 4x4x15" pieces of Douglas Fir was loaded in 30 seconds. The test charge was burned until the scale returned to zero weight indicating that the remaining fuel was equivalent in weight to the initial charcoal bed. Data was recorded at ten-minute intervals during this burn cycle and the time when the test charge was completely consumed was recorded.

CLIENT: WOLF-BAVARIA

TEST DATE: 4 FEBRUARY 2005

pp 8/8

IV.E.

TEST FUEL PROPERTIES

SPECIES Piece Size Spacers Total weight Load volume	PRELOAD Douglas Fir 2x4's 6" no 18.07 lbs.	TEST LOAD Douglas Fir 4x4x15" and 2x4x15" yes 18.05 lbs. 0.5013 ft ³
Wet basis-Average Moisture Dry Basis-Average	17.86%	17.19%
Moisture	21.75%	20.76%

Reported by:

Brian Ziegler

Engineering Technician

Reviewed by:

Evaluated By:

Rick Armstrong

Technical Projects Manager

William Keen

Associate Engineer